Independent University

Bangladesh (IUB)

IUB Academic Repository

School of Liberal Arts & Social Sciences

Article

2016-09-01

Pedagogy in Bangladeshi Private Universities: Context, Culture, and Confusion

Mannan, Manzurul

Center for Pedagogy (CP) Established under the Sub-project Titled "Pedagogical Development at Undergraduate and Master's Level" (CP3357), Independent University, Bangladesh (IUB)

https://ar.iub.edu.bd/handle/11348/257

Downloaded from IUB Academic Repository

Pedagogy in Bangladeshi Private Universities: Context, Culture, and Confusion

Manzurul Mannan⁶⁷ Independent University, Bangladesh (IUB)

Although Bangladesh has had a long history of university teaching, pedagogy has hardly entered the imagination of university educators. Today's teachers are yesterday's students, with each generation being groomed in the same cultural patterns of learning that are continually repeated without examination. Higher education in Bangladesh must also contend with another type of cultural problems. The first of these is that students enroll in universities from three different school systems: Madrasah (religious schools), Bengali and English medium schools, each with its sharp, disparate worldviews. At the same time, the majority of faculties lack the knowledge of pedagogical methods for adjusting their teaching framework to accommodate the diversity of students' worldviews to nurture knowledge progression in classroom settings. The second problem deals with students' acquired cultural practices of rote learning and memorization by way of lectures and homework that parrots texts and lectures. In contrast, however, a university setting has been traditionally charged with and has the advantage of stimulating new ideas and knowledge, provoking assumptions, and teaching and encouraging critical thinking. The third pedagogical challenge also derives from Bengali culture, from which teachers assume a hierarchical mindset and attitude that is counter-productive to students' learning.

Keywords: Pedagogy, school systems, culture, universities, Bangladesh.

Introduction

Pedagogy teaches teachers how to teach, so that they may effectively teach students how to learn; it offers important training for teachers to transform students from mere parrots of information into challengers and innovators of knowledge. Yet, while Bangladesh has had a long history of university teaching, pedagogy has hardly entered the imagination of university educators. A few universities have adopted pedagogy programs since the University Grant Commission (UGC) and World Bank's Higher Education Quality Enhancement Project (HEQEP) recently began offering grants to bring qualitative changesto universities' teaching environment. Although as institutions universities tend to lack experiences in pedagogy, a few public and private universities have recognized the importance of pedagogical training, and a good number of individuals within them have been trained in the fundamentals and techniques.

Private Universities and Context

Bangladesh has had strong tradition of public universities, but with the downfall of Soviet Union in 1992 and rise of a neo-liberal market philosophy, the way waspaved for establishing private universities in Bangladesh. These private universities offered an alternate platform for higher education and have experienced exponential growth. Currently, there are 34 public universities, 90 private universities, among which are two international universities and 31 specialized colleges.

⁶⁷ Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Manzurul Mannan, E-mail: manzurulmannan@gmail.com.

The change in global politics led to the commercialization of education, which reflected aglobal capitalist ethos (Bartlett 2004). Under the compulsion of globalization, the University Grant Commission (UGC), which oversees the affairs of public universities, allowed private universities to operate in Bangladesh. The UGC deals differently in its approachesto public and private universities. Public universities, whichassume to promote the "value" of education, depend totally on government grants and subsidies, while private universities depend solely on market nichesso as to produce the image of a "profit-making" enterprise. Private universities are principally preoccupied with recovering their costs and are oriented towards a market-based expansion strategy in a way akin to private sector firms. Consequently, given market insecurities, private universities lack stable means to ensure the quality of research and teaching output (Maitrot 2015:21).

The euphoria to commission private universities started with a somewhat inflated idea. When private universities began their operation, many investors assumed that Bangladesh was brimming with scholars. In the initial years private universities relied heavily on faculty members from public universities that offered their services on a part time or adjunct basis. As time passed the sphere of private universities expanded and they gradually built up a pool of scholars by hiring public university teachers, full time or adjunct, and fresh post-graduates from public and private universities. Importantly, private universities became an intellectual sanctuary for many scholars returning to Bangladesh with foreign, post-graduate degrees.

In general, private universities imported the North American structure or system of education. The first few private universities, such as North South and Independent University, Bangladesh, copied the model of American universities, but many universities commissioned later imitated the structure of the already established local, private universities. While US universities and curricula vary considerably, the "American curriculum" model that has been predominately imitated in establishing private universities emphasizesdegree paths in business administration (B.B.A and M.B.A) and computer science. Because business and computer science graduates must interact globally, they must be competent in English. Hence, all private universities have established English departments. Consequently, because the core of all private universities revolves around business administration, computer science, and English studies, the foundational philosophy of higher education embedded in the disciplines of philosophy, social sciences, liberal arts, and natural science studies has been undermined. Despite the vow of entrepreneurs to copy the American model, their undervaluation of the liberal arts and sciences defies the survey findings of the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU), which concluded that "a candidate's demonstrated capacity to think critically, communicate clearly, and solve complex problems is more important than their undergraduate major." Ninety-five percent (95%) of employers wanted their employees to write, speak, and reason better. The ability to think innovatively and not just to recite yesterday's information now ranks near the top of the qualities sought by employers. The same survey found that employers give a preference in hiring to university graduates with the capacity for contributing innovation to the workplace. Such skills and knowledge are provided by the liberal arts and sciences (HRS 2013).

The over-emphasis on business and technology, with the mistaken assumptions about the global role and effect of the market model, also contradicts many parents' expectations that higher education will offer their children social awareness, values, and ethics. Parents and students want a broad spectrum of subjects be offered at the university level (Alam 2009). Unfortunately, an aspect of the American model of privatized higher education comes with a downside: Many business entrepreneurs that finance the private universities view higher education not only as source of business, instead of as an institution of

knowledge production, but they also see their investment in education as a means for enhancing their social status. With the emergence of a market model for university education comes the tacit modus operandi of treating university degrees as commodities; that is, such universities exist primarily to perpetuate and duplicate business models. The misinformed euphoria of entrepreneurs also fails to account for the policy environment of higher education set by the University Grant Commission (UGC), which essentially promotes the British colonial model of public university education characteristic to South Asia, andis highly critical of the market ethos educational philosophy. While private universities must also conform to UGC policies, and because they evolved through the convergence of the American market-emphasis model and the British model of the UGC, a hybrid education management structure and culture developed. In this hybrid environment, tension and confusion between the UGC and private university approaches are evident. For example, private university governance is comprised of two parallel bodies of a Board of Trustees (BoT), which establishes the university, and the UGC-imposed Syndicate, without fixing each other's boundaries and roles in policymaking.

Given the conflicting aims of the BoT and the Syndicate, a scenario of permanent tension seems likely⁶⁸. On one hand, private universities are eager to offer market-driven courses and certificates to ensure their financial viability, but the UGC opposes the market ethos. On the other hand, the strict regulations and statutes of the UGC require private universities to compromise their market ethos, which may make them replicas of public universities. Consequently, many private universities see the UGC as a real hindrance to their growth. Many private university actors see public universities as symbols of a decaying education environment with falling educational standards in a highly politicized cultural setting. Despite the rhetoric the broader academic culture and practices of public universities have been reproduced in private universities because of the latter's initial reliance on senior faculty members from public universities.

Despite all odds and limitations, private universities have a somewhat positive image in the education market. Some universities are better than others, which in popular parlance means that good universities are those that have faculty members with doctoral degrees, permanent campuses, and more departments in different academic disciplines, and conduct regular classes without political disruption. Parents have shown that they are willing to pay high fees to private universities for their wards' higher education.

Objectives

To address the prevailing educational practices and problems in private universities, ,I contend that the objectives of pedagogy training must be based on teaching problems at the level of higher education and that the following general determinations dictate the direction, content, and depth of training needed:

- 1. Howaware are faculty members of the importance of pedagogy;
- 2. What skills do faculty require to learn to teach effectively, including how astutely can they deconstruct cultural contexts of learning to prepare students with analytical mindsets, skills, and knowledge;
- 3. How do instructors approach topics and content so thatstudents can apply their academic learning to their future professional life; and
- 4. Who is responsible for formulating pedagogy manuals to train and guide faculty members?

⁶⁸ In light of conflict among BoT members, between the BoT and office of the vice chancellor, the forceful removal of the vice chancellor in some universities, and private universities' student movements against value added tax (VAT), the government is seriously considering formulating policy that would increase its control in the governance structure of universities by installing a government representative in the BoT.

Trainer to Teacher

My familiarity with pedagogy came about in an unusual way: Whereas university faculty are usually introduced to pedagogical training after they are hired to a faculty position, I received training to be a pedagogy trainer before I joined the faculties of Independent University, Bangladesh (IUB) and then briefly at National University of Singapore (NUS) over 17 years ago. My pedagogical interest began when I joined the Training Division of BRAC in 1995, whose trainers were proficient in the "Training of Trainers" and had presented in depth orientation in critical pedagogies based on the work of Paulo Freire (1972). The primary aim of the training of trainers was to teach them to guide others towards pursuing a fuller humanity, social emancipation, and transformation by taking their leadsfrom the aid-target populations, such as the poor and women. Trainers learned how to developed training coursesin different thematic areas of development, such as education or fostering conscientiousness in tasks, which were then used to transformthe lives of millions of beneficiaries and thousands of staff. Mytraining experience was further polished when BRAC sent me to the School of International Training (SIT) in 1996to receive training in pedagogy and academic management to establish an international Master's degree and post-graduate diploma program for development practitioners.

In academe, of course, the pedagogical needs of the recipients—teachers—varied from development practitioners, and for this new setting I had the benefit of NUS's excellent pedagogy manual, the focus of which was to transform students into skilled professionals. My insight into problematic teaching practices was further developed when I become a team member of IUB Task Force to evaluate IUB's academic management (Razia et.al 2013).

Creating Relevancy in University Teaching

My recent observation of pedagogic training of two universities suggests that the trainers, and consequently the teachers they train, missed current, problematic teaching practices. The primary fault lies in failing to make exogenous (foreign) materials relevant to current and regional realities. The following dialogue took place during a training session between a junior (JF) and senior faculty (SF) member and me, andillustrates the lack of relevancy of materials for and approaches to topics.

Me: What is the purpose of teaching?

JF: You tell me. What is the purpose? No one ever explained it to us.

SF: The purpose of teaching is for the *production of knowledge in classes*.

Me: How do you produce knowledge?

SF: Usually I read from books and give lectures in class. Students become erudite from my lectures.

Me: Why have you been using the same literature for the last 15 years?

SF: True, the literature may be old, but it is new to incoming students that hardly know the subjects.

The above dialogue illustrates common expectations for class interaction: "You tell me" indicates an expectation of being handed information—usually and preferably for accomplishing a short-term goal of passing an exam—rather than taking a question or novel idea as a challenge for questioning past assumptions and formulating new perspectives and making specific applications. The phrase "the production of knowledge in classes" more specifically shows an expectation that students will simply absorb information that has been spewed out and that doing so constitutes successful learning.

So the universities in Bangladesh, as well as universities everywhere, face pressure and competition to prove that what they offer hasrelevancy in a global context. , The universities in Bangladesh for the most part are not rising to the challenge as Badrul Ahsan (2013) laments: "Benjamin Franklin, one of the

Founding Fathers of the United States, advised us to look for three things in a university: light, liberty and learning. Our universities have more light than before because classrooms and corridors are better illuminated. There is also more liberty because teachers and boards are running these institutions at their will. While these two things are taking more space, learning is getting squeezed [out]. Lurid tales of lewdness, corruption and profligate greed are coming out of these seats of higher learning like bats flapping out of dark basements".

While Ahsan's remarks are allusive, the Webometrics Ranking of World Universities provides evidence of a grim situation. Webometrics ranks Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology (BUET) highest out of all public and private universities in Bangladesh. However, this grim reality is manifested in many ways. In global ranking, the best Bangladeshi university is, which is ranked by at 2012 (Webometrics, January 2016), and are much below than BUET ranking. The two important global ranking systems, i.e., the Times Higher Education World University Rankings and the QS World University Rankings hardly recognize the low quality of universities of Bangladesh.

The quality of education offered byinstitutions of higher learning has adeep impact on the job market. Currently, 47% of postgraduate students are unemployed (EIU 2014:10). More specifically employers find graduates' abilities lacking in two ways: Not enough graduates have specialized skills needed in high growth sectors; and where graduates have these skills, they are still not employable because they lack proficient skills with English and computers and software systems, and with other softer skills, such as communication and problem-solving. In other words, university curriculaare outdated and graduates' work skills are mismatched for the job market (EIU 2014). Employers, such as industrialists and business entrepreneurs in the fast growing economy sectors with over 6% annual growth, are unable to employ the low quality university graduates (REF). As a result, these entrepreneurs turn to Indian and Sri Lankan graduates to work in their enterprises. Currently, over 500,000 Indian graduates are working in Bangladesh, whichannually transfers over US\$3,716 millionin revenue to India (Siliconindia. 2013). One such worker from India remarked, "We are unemployed in India because of tough competition, but in Bangladesh we enjoy a good salary and lifestyle". Obviously, then, universities are failing to supply the type of expertise that business and industrial sectors need.

The irony is that although the pass rates in public examinations are getting higher, a huge number of students are failing to master the desired job market competencies (Habib 2014). Frustrated parents of unemployed graduates want to know to what extent universities prepare students with the appropriate knowledge and skills for the professional world. They ask this for two reasons: One is a matter of seeing real world success for their financial investment in their children's education; the other has to do with employers' having difficulty in matching university graduates' knowledge and skills with professional realities and needed expertise.

Recycled Culture

Today's faculty instructors are yesterday's students. They were all trained insimilar cultural patterns of teaching and learning processes. Part of that culture is teachers blamingstudents when the latter fail to meet performance expectations and criticizing themas being interested only in gaining certificates, not an education, for a lack of personal investment in their learning and looking for shortcuts, and for rote learning. To break the cycle of blame requires that instructors recognize their responsibility intransforming students' attitudes and performance. Instructors should ask themselves why high achieving secondary school students perform poorly in higher education settings. Very basically this requires that faculty members examine deeply and understand the pedagogical environments of two levels of educational

instruction to help students make the transition from one to the other. This inquiry, of course, involves self-evaluation about assumptions of the processes and goals of higher education.

Teaching and learning behaviors and assumptions are also rooted in general Bengali culture. A professor at a European university that is a popular destination of Bangladeshi students gave this assessment of these students:

"Bangladeshi students' ability to learn and acquire knowledge is [hindered by] their lack of critical thinking. They take things for granted on face value. They do not raise questions. They don't debate and argue and shy away from discussions. In the class they do not challenge what the professor is saying; reality, therefore, is given to them, not constructed by them. These skills of assessment, critical thinking, and analysis must be developed from childhood. At a later stage the values of acceptance and norms of shying away or hiding take deep root and [it is] difficult to change"

Students' lack of critical assessment skills from having been raised in a system of memorization rooted in an ethnic culture of silence, whichcan be attributed to the broader hierarchical culture that informs Bengali behavior (Mannan 2015: 26). People define their lives in hierarchical terms: big-small (borochoto), elder-junior (murubbi-choto), teacher-disciple (guru-shishaya), respect-disrespect (adab-beadab), deference-disrespect (sroddha-osrodha), honored-dishonored (jat-bejat), polite-impolite (binoyee-abinoyee) (Mannan 2015:29). The criteria of rank are embedded in inferred Bengali behavioral codes (Davis 1983, 69). In the context of education, the culture of guru-shishayais reproduced, which is understood as a one-way communication from guru to shishaya. The guru shares his wisdom and students abide by it by following his instructions. Shishayamust not raise questions, even if the guru is wrong; otherwise the shishayashows disrespect (beadab). This culture sets the premise for a culture of memorization and rote learning and not one of independent critical thinking. Being a part of Bengali culture, teachers have a hierarchical mindset and attitude that discourages questions and analyses from students. The gap between memorization and creative thinking puts students at a significant disadvantage.

When instructors assume the mantel of a dogmatic *guru*, their teaching becomes a hollow exercise in stroking their egos. Professor Syed Saad Andaleeb of BRAC University (2016) further noted a culture of laxity in such teachers. He lists the following critiques based on his observations:

The teacher does not attend classes regularly and has many excuses to be busy; could not make the class interactive; used the traditional lecture method and taught straight from the book; did not have a clear idea of either the content or materials; not approachable or friendly; laughed at us if we could not answer, as if it was our fault that we did not know the answer; would never answer questions and laughed at us for being so stupid; displayed [preferences/favoritism] towards a particular group; went through all the slides without explaining the subject matter; was rigid, not open to ideas, and lost patience when questioned; discouraged students from asking questions; was not available during office hours; the course outline was not up-to-date; the assessment system was questionable [especially its fairness]; the lectures were disorganized; no clear expectations were set; the exams required rote memorization of mundane/trivial facts and writing essays.

The above observations corroborate findings of IUB's task force (Razia 2013) in thatthe tendency among instructors is to assume a role of a guru, rather than guide students in an active process of discovery and learning. The performance of university teachers in Bangladesh is also shown in the World Bank report

(2013): Lecturing and reading the textbook is the common teaching style; There is littleinteraction with students; Teachers rely heavily on textbooks for classroom instruction; Teachers fear applying innovative approaches; Many teachers are unfamiliar with level of competencies of students; Pass rates in public exams increased over a given period, but not students' competence.

A cultural-structural aspect of higher education in Bangladesh is that students come from three different school systems: Madrasah (religious schools), Bengali and English medium schools, each with its sharp, disparate worldviews; that is, students arrive in universities with particular ontological, epistemological, and ethical orientations to the world (Saila et.al. 2016: 68). At the same time, the majority of faculties lack pedagogical skills for adjusting their teaching framework to accommodate the diversity of students' worldviews and to nurture knowledge progression in classroom settings.

In summary, given certain cultural features, university faculty members often fail to make a distinction between their role and that of pre-university and college teachers. In Bangladesh, the job of secondary school and college teachers is to ensure that students learn given material and to evaluate students on the basis of the pre-determined learning outcomes. A university instructor, by contrast, is to guide students to and through sources of knowledge by ensuring that students develop critical scholarship for discovery, learning, and creativity.

A gap between present approach of teaching and learning of students could be attributable to students' unfettered access to internet viewing and learning, whichis making them less interested in the lecture-based learning. For any assignment students look first for easy-to-find references in internet search engines. The medium also gives them more access to visual and auditory learning, which they tend to rely on rather then trying to articulate ideasfrom print reading and writing.

Pragmatic Solutions

A crucial starting point in changing prevalent pedagogical attitudes and practices is to communicate to university instructors that, first and foremost, the needs of the students are at least as important as any of their research projects or other tasks as a faculty member. To communicate this foundational understanding and give instructors practical tools for understanding and effectively meeting students' needs require training in pedagogy. Second, given the teacher focused methods and goals of teaching in secondary schools and colleges that emphasize rote learning and evaluate students on the basis of predetermined learning outcomes, university faculty require training to compensate for earlier forms of instruction to guide students develop critical scholarship for discovery, learning, and creativity. Methods that over-emphasize teacher-focused methods—lectures; demonstrations; direct, or explicit instructions; rote learning; oral repetition; imitation; copying—contrast significantly with student-centered learning, or constructed learning—inquiry-based;project work; individual and team activity, such as in small-groups, pairs, or whole class interactive work; problem solving; allowing for extended dialogue with individuals; encouraging higher order questioning. In other words the teaching methods are teacher guided, but student-centered (Westerok 2013:10-12).

University level education is uniquely positioned to promote and nurture an analytical mindset and critical and creative thinking by challenging established ideas in order to inculcate new ways of thinking and ideas, along with new knowledge sets. To do so,"...faculties have to learn the modern way of instruction...They have to learn problem-based teaching where students are given specific problems to be solved in innovative ways. The students have to learn in teams and teachers have to know how to make it happen" (Klotz 2014).

Instruction in pedagogy theory and methods and their context appropriateness begins to address problems in higher education. Pedagogical training modules would include following aids:

Most fundamentally, teachers' awareness of problems related to instruction in any setting needs to be raised. That is, teachers must be able to identify the symptoms of poor learning and take responsibility for low achievement.

The advent of information and communication technologies (ICTs) and the World Wide Web has created unique challenges to instructors in higher education in part because such tools were not part of their educational process. The effective use of these technologies for students constitutes a new area of pedagogical analysis, and today's instructors need training on how to best use the technology. From his extensive studies of the use of computers and the World Wide Web in many levels of education, Richard H. Hall of Missouri Science and Technology consistently found that "one of the most important predictors of how effective computers are in increasing student learning is the amount of computer training the teacher has (Wenglinsky, 1998)" and "In order for the web to serve as an effective adjunct to traditional class, the instructor must know more than how to teach students to surf the web" (Hall, no date).

Pedagogy training helps instructors keep course content relevant. Besides using outdated texts, as mentioned earlier, for some subject areas instructors must know how to adapt materials written for students in another region and with other perspectives to location-specific situations. In other words, relevant curricula and syllabishould not be designed on a "linear education model" (Klotz 2014), which mean supdating the present design of courses as time and circumstances require.

Pedagogy training can also help instructors identify their students' learning abilities, as in whether they tend to be more visual or conceptual in their aptitude and teachers may be trained in deciding HOW materials and information are best communicated. That is, the learning mode derives from the thing to be learned, rather than students' learning styles dictating the mode of communication. The pedagogy training to faculty must be designed so that teachers may expedite the process of effective learning so that students can 'develop' and demonstrate" their learning capabilities. A teacher can contribute to increase capacity of students to interpret a problem so that they can 'develop' capabilities for qualitative and quantitative reasoning and develop expertise in planning and problem assessment with knowledge of social responsibilities. At the same time, students with analytical mindset can 'demonstrate' their creative thinking and judgement capability along with communication skills pedagogy training alone, however, is not enough to improve the learning environment. Beyond classroom instruction, physical and professional policy and structural support systems are needed, such as proper library resources anda supportive environment for faculty research interests. Without the latter, faculty members stagnate in their areas of expertise, especially withburdensome teaching loads, which diminishes effective teaching, which in turn affects academic standards.

By establishing teaching policies, institutes of higher education can further support their faculties in pedagogy and raise the quality of education. Teaching policies should include criteria for curriculum development and maintenance; guidelines for developing and directing the implementation of academic goals, objectives, policies, procedures, and standards; evaluation standards and procedures to monitor faculty members' compliance with teaching duties; guidelines for monitoring student performance; and standards and procedures for setting examinations.

Conclusion

In university classroom settings students often have their first exposure to international experiences and where they have opportunities to gain experience and interact socially with people of varying religious,

cultural, class, ethnic, and national backgrounds, as well as with those of differing gender orientation. The quality of this experience depends on how well a university, as a knowledge enterprise, creates an enabling environment where faculty play proactive roles in guiding students through and drawing them into new experiences that challenge assumptions and foster curiosity and creative thinking and prepare them for the challenges of post-university life. An important aspect for fostering quality education is the university's policy-driven education management system, whereby faculty and students encounter transformative experiences in the process of knowledge production. Universities can significantly improve the quality of education by providing pedagogic training, extensive classic and contemporary library sources, research support for faculty and students, contemporary teaching materials, concepts, and knowledge. Having well-supported personnel and material resources translates into improvement in students' performance in their academic fields and a thriving intellectual and scholarly collegial community.

Reference

- Ahsan, Mohammad Badrul. 2013. "Education Gangnam Style," The Daily Star. February 8, 2013
- Alam, Gazi Mahabubul. 2009. "Marketing of Education in Bangladesh: An Overview on the Perspective of Business Philosophy and Ethics." Bangladesh Education Article. http://www.bdeduarticle.com/education-and-finance/47-marketing-of-education-in-bangladesh-an-overview-on-the-perspective-of-business-philosophy-and-ethics (accessed on 19.04.2016)
- Andaleeb, Syed Saad. 2016. "Reimagining academia for students of the future" The Daily Star. January 16, 2016.
- Bartlett, L., Frederick, M. and Gulbrandsen, T. . 2004. "The Marketization of Education: Public Schools for Private Ends." Anthropology and Education Quarterly. Vol. 33, No. 1, p. 5-29.
- EIU. 2014. High university enrolment, low graduate employment. Analysing the paradox in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. London: The Economic Intelligent Unit
- Klotz, Marie-Louise. 2014. "Higher Education in Bangladesh. Change should start with Syllabus" The Daily Star. May 19, 2014
- Habib, Wasim Bin. 2014. "Poor teaching method restrains learners' skill. WB study on Bangladesh secondary education points out limitations." May 10, 2014. The Daily Star.
- Hall, Richard H. No date. "Education, Hypermedia, and the World Wide Web." Web page for one of Hall's courses. http://web.mst.edu/~rhall/ed psych/web.html
- HRS. 2013. It Takes More than a Major: Employer Priorities for College Learning and Student Success. An online survey among employers conducted on behalf of: The Association of American Colleges and Universities by Hart Research Associates April 10, 2013. Hart Research Associates 1724 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20009 (http://www.aacu.org/).
- Maitrot, Mathilde. 2015. "Exploring Effectiveness and Impact: Think Tanks and University Relationships in South Asia: The Bangladesh Case." In: Think Tank and Universities Studies Think Tank Initiative. The Think Tank Initiative.: IDRC- International
- Development Research Centre, Canada, pp. 1-54. [Opus: University of Bath Online Publication Store http://opus.bath.ac.uk/]
- Mannan, Manzurul. 2015. "BRAC, Global Policy Language and Women. Transformation and Manipulation." Albany: State University of New York (SUNY) Press, USA (http://www.sunypress.edu/p-6114-brac-global-policy-language-and.aspx).
- Parsons, Keith M. 2015. "Message to My Freshman Students" Huffpost College. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/keith-m-parsons/message-to-my-freshman-st_b_7275016.html (accessed on 2.20.2016

- Saila Poulter, Anna-Leena Riitaoja & Arniika Kuusisto. 2016. "Thinking multicultural education 'otherwise' from a secularist construction towards a plurality of epistemologies and worldviews". Globalisation, Societies and Education, 14:1, 68-86, DOI: 10.1080/14767724.2014.989964
- Siliconindia. 2013. "15 Nations Sending Highest Remittances to India". Siliconindia News. May 21, 2013 (http://www.siliconindia.com/news/business/15-Nations-Sending-Highest-Remittances-to-India-nid-147515-cid-3.html) accessed on March 21, 2016)
- Wenglinsky, Harold. 1998. Does it compute? The relationship between educational technology and student achievement in mathematics. Educational Testing Service Policy Information Center Report. Accessed on April 14, 2016 http://www.ets.org/research/policy_research_reports/publications/report/1998/cneu
- World Bank. 2013. Bangladesh Education Sector Review Seeding Fertile Ground: Education That Works for Bangladesh. Report No. 80613-BD. Washington: The World Bank